

**RULES AND GUIDELINES
BOARD OF EXAMINERS
(under Article 7.12, section 4 of
the Higher Education Act (WHW))**

2019-2020

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND
ENGINEERING**

DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

These Rules and Regulations apply to the academic year 2019-2020

LIST OF CONTENTS

General	3
Article 1 Scope of applicability	3
Article 2 Definition of terms	3
Article 3 The Board of Examiners' working method	3
Article 4 Decisions taken by the Board of Examiners	3
Article 5 Appointment of examiners, external examiners and ad hoc committees	3
Article 6 Guiding standards for decision making	4
Article 7a Fraud	4
Article 7b Disciplinary measure in the event of an irregularity or suspected irregularity	
Article 7c Plagiarism scan	
Article 8 Time limits for requests	5
MSc programme	
Article 9a Drawing up the degree programme	
Article 9b Registering for degree audit and applying for degree certificate	5
Examinations	6
Article 10 Administrating examinations	6
Article 11 Remote examination	6
Article 12 Questions and assignments	6
Article 13 Procedure during examinations	6
Article 14 Assessment	7
Article 15 Registering results	7
Article 16 Retention of work and results	8
Exemption	8
Article 17 Application procedure for exemption	8
Article 18 Official date of an exemption	8
Internships and project	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Article 19 Internship	8
Article 20 Multidisciplinary Project	8
Article 21 Official date of Internship and Multidisciplinary Projects results	9
Master's Thesis Project	9
Article 22 Cancelled	9
Article 23 Composition of assessment committee Master Thesis Project	9
Article 24 The assessment committee	10
Article 25 Official date Master's thesis project	10
Curriculum components completed elsewhere	10
Article 26 Inclusion in the degree audit programme	10
Article 27 Provision of information	10
Pass/fail rules for programmes	11
Article 28 Pass/fail rules governing Master's degree	10
Article 29a Pass/fail rules governing the Master's Honours Programme	11
Article 29b Pass/fail rules governing annotations	
Article 29c The pre-master's pass and fail rules for students from higher vocational institutes	
Conferring the predicate "cum laude"	11
Article 30 The predicate "cum laude" for Master's degree	11
Degree certificates and results achieved	12
Article 31 Degree certificate, transcript and supplement	12
Article 32 Statement of results achieved	12
Appeal and final provisions	12
Article 33 Appeals against decisions made by the Board of Examiners	12
Article 34 Amendments to the Rules and Guidelines of the Board of Examiners	12
Article 35 Unexpected circumstances	12
Article 36 Entry into force	12

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Abbreviations used

R&G Rules and Guidelines of the Board of examiners (in Dutch: Regels en Richtlijnen van de examencommissie);
 TER Teaching and Examination Regulations (in Dutch: OER, Onderwijs en Examenreglement);
 WHW Higher Education and Research Act (in Dutch: Wet op het Hoger en Wetenschappelijk Onderwijs);
 UTQ University teaching qualification (BKO in Dutch).

Rules and Guidelines of the Board of Examiners

General

Article 1 Scope of applicability

The rules as referred to in Article 7.12b, third paragraph, of the WHW are set by the Board of Examiners and are referred to as the Rules and Guidelines of the Board of Examiners (R&G). These Rules and Guidelines govern the Construction Management and Engineering Master's degree programme of Delft University of Technology, referred to below as the 'Programme'. These rules and regulations apply to anyone who is following the aforementioned programme in this academic year, regardless of when the programme was started.

Article 2 Definition of terms

Applicable are the definitions of terms contained in Article 1.1 of the Dutch Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) and Article 2 of the Teaching and Examination Regulations of the Civil Engineering Master's degree programme and the Applied Earth Sciences Master's degree programme and 4TU Construction Management and Engineering Master's degree programme.

Article 3 The Board of Examiners' working method

1. In principle the Board of Examiners meets once a month.
2. The Board of Examiners may delegate certain clearly defined duties.
3. The Secretary to the Board of Examiners is charged with the day-to-day affairs of the Board of Examiners and can be mandated to make standard decisions on the basis of the R&G, the TER with its annexes and formulated policy.
4. The members of the Board of Examiners decide jointly who of them shall deputise for the chairman during his or her absence.
5. The meetings are not public.
6. In the event that a student submits an application or complaint to the Board of Examiners that involves an examiner who is a member of the Board of Examiners, the examiner concerned will have no involvement in the handling of the application or complaint and will temporarily absent him or herself from the meeting.
7. Regarding the matters discussed at meetings, minutes will be drawn up.
8. Every year, in accordance with article 7.12b, section 5 of the WHW, the Board of Examiners compiles a report of its activities in the previous academic year and sends this report to the Dean.

Article 4 Decisions taken by the Board of Examiners

1. The Board of Examiners' rulings are based on a simple majority vote.
2. If the votes are equally divided the Chairman of the Board of Examiners will have a casting vote unless the votes were cast by ballot.
3. If votes cast by ballot are equally divided, then a second vote by ballot will be held; if the votes are once again equally divided, the proposal being voted upon will be rejected.

Article 5 Appointment of examiners, external examiners and ad hoc committees

1. The Board of Examiners will appoint all examiners of the programme before the start of each academic year and furthermore as often as necessary.
2. As examiners only professors, associate professors, assistant professors (including tenure trackers) and lecturers of TU Delft can be appointed. Examiners must have obtained a university teaching qualification (UTQ) or equivalent, an exemption for the UTQ, or are in the process of obtaining the UTQ.
3. In special cases, a deviation from the requirements in section 2 of this article is permitted. A motivated request has to be put forward to the Board of Examiners.
4. The Board of Examiners may appoint experts from outside the institution as examiners. Such appointments shall be made for a maximum period of two years, with the possibility of extending this period for a maximum of 2 years each time.

5. The Board of Examiners can set up *ad hoc* committees, including assessment committees as referred to in Article 23.

Article 6 Guiding standards for decision making

The Board of Examiners or the examiner will use the following standards as a guideline when making decisions and will weigh the various standards against each other in the event of any inconsistency:

- a. maintaining the quality and selection requirements with respect to the examination or part of the examination in question;
- b. expedience, with the goal of limiting study delays of students who are making good progress in their studies;
- c. leniency with respect to students who are experiencing or have experienced a study delay due to circumstances that are beyond their control.

Article 7a Fraud

1. Fraud is taken to mean any act or omission by a student (consciously or unconsciously, intentionally or unintentionally) that makes it fully or partially impossible to properly assess the knowledge, insight and skill of that student or another student. Fraud includes, but is not limited, to:
 - a. plagiarism, defined as all cases in which a student implies that the work in question is his or her own when that is not the case, such as copying the work of others and presenting it as one's own through deliberate deception or through carelessness or inadequate references;
 - b. getting someone else to take the examination or impersonating someone else during an examination;
 - c. being in possession, during an examination, of aids (digital or otherwise) like any notes, a pre-programmed calculator, mobile phone, smart devices, book, syllabus or notes in books for an open-book examination, the use of which has not been explicitly permitted;
 - d. looking at the work of others during an examination or exchanging information or materials inside or outside the room where the examination is taking place;
 - e. being in illegal possession of the questions of an examination before the date or time on which the examination is due to be held.
2. Whenever a student is suspected of having committed fraud, the matter will be dealt with by the Board of Examiners of the study programme on which the student is enrolled. If a Board of Examiners receives a complaint relating to fraud about a student who is enrolled on a different study programme, it will immediately pass on the complaint to the Board of Examiners of the relevant study programme. In the case of fraud committed in group work involving students from different study programmes, the Boards of Examiners concerned will together agree which Board of Examiners will handle the complaint. The Board of Examiners dealing with the complaint will keep the other Board or Boards informed of the outcome.
3. If fraud is discovered or suspected during an examination, the examiner or invigilator will immediately inform the student in question and record the incident in a written report as quickly as possible. The written report will be added to the student's completed examination after the examination has ended. The examiner or invigilator can ask the student to make any relevant evidence available. If the student refuses to do so, this will be noted in the report. The report and any evidence will be submitted to the Board of Examiners immediately.
4. If fraud is discovered or suspected other than during an examination, the examiner will record the incident in writing as quickly as possible. The report and any evidence will be submitted to the Board of Examiners immediately.
5. If fraud is discovered or suspected, the Board of Examiners, the examiner, and the invigilator are authorized to obtain information from employees or parts of the organization that could be used as evidence.
6. The Board of Examiners will give the student an opportunity to comment in writing on the report that was prepared by the examiner or invigilator.
7. In the event of fraud, the Board of Examiners will decide that no mark will be awarded to the student for the examination in question or assessment given for the practical exercise, apart for exceptional cases. In addition, it may impose the following sanctions, depending on the gravity of the fraud and any repeated incidents:
 - a. reprimand;
 - b. exclusion from the examination or practical in question for a maximum period of one year;
 - c. exclusion from one or more examination periods for a maximum period of one year; or
 - d. a combination of the measures listed above.

In the event of serious fraud or repeated fraud, the Board of Examiners is entitled to propose to the Executive Board that the student's enrolment on the degree programme be permanently terminated.

Serious fraud as referred to in Article 7.12b WHW is in any case understood to mean fraud as referred to in this article under 1a and 1b.

8. When, within the context of group learning, the Board of Examiners determines that group fraud has been committed, the Board of Examiners may decide that no mark will be awarded to every group member and impose one of the sanctions referred to in section 7 of this article, points a to d upon every group member.
9. The Board of Examiners will only take a decision as described in subsection 7 of this article after the student(s) in question has/have been given the opportunity of being heard.

Article 7b Disciplinary measure in the event of an irregularity or suspected irregularity

1. Prior to, during or after an examination, the Board of Examiners may decide that the examination must be taken in a different way or (re)taken at a different time in the event of any irregularity or if there are reasonable grounds for suspecting an irregularity, such as:
 - large-scale or organised fraud that renders the examination results untrustworthy and if it is not (yet) possible to determine which individual students are involved;
 - a technical failure during the examination that renders the results untrustworthy.
2. If the examination has already been taken, the Board of Examiners may decide to declare the results of the examination in question invalid for all participants. The examination must then be retaken. In this case the Board of Examiners will set a new examination date as soon as possible.

Article 7c Plagiarism scan

1. The examiner or assessment committee checks written (graduation) work for plagiarism. A plagiarism scanner may be used for this purpose.
2. To facilitate plagiarism checks, the examiner or assessment committee may set requirements for the way in which a written assignment must be submitted.

Article 8 Time limits for requests

The Board of Examiners will render a decision with respect to a student's application within 40 working days after the application is received or, if the application is submitted during an academic holiday or within a period of three weeks prior to an academic holiday, within 40 working days after the end of that holiday. The Board of Examiners may postpone making a decision for a maximum period of 10 working days. The student will be informed in writing of any postponement before the end of the period referred to in the first sentence.

MSc programme

Article 9a Drawing up the degree programme

1. Students must draw up their degree programme following the requirements laid down in the annex of the Teaching and Examination Regulations for that programme. If the examination programme deviates from these Regulations, the programme must also be presented to the Board of Examiners for final approval, with a motivation for the deviation from these Regulations.
2. The decision whether a student has met all the requirements for the degree is made by one member of the Board of Examiners and the secretary to the Board of Examiners. In case of doubt the Board of Examiners will decide.

Article 9b Registering for degree audit and applying for degree certificate

1. Registration for the degree audit and the application for a degree certificate must be submitted to the Student Administration no later than 20 working days before the intended date of graduation (date of presentation) in question is to be held.
2. The student must submit the final study programme to the Student Administration no later than the day on which the period referred to in subsection 1 commences.
3. All requirements must be met and all results must have been submitted to the Student Administration no later than five working days before the meeting for the degree audit in question.
4. The Student Administration has the possibility of putting forward a student for the degree audit if it has established that the student has met all obligations for the degree audit. The Student Administration will inform the student of its intention to put the student forward for the degree audit. The Student Administration will drop its intention when the student indicates within the specified period that he/she wishes to improve a result or wishes to supplement his/her examination programme. In that case, the student will have the opportunity to do so the rest of the current academic year.

Examinations

Article 10 Administrating examinations

1. In special cases, the Board of Examiners may allow a student to take an examination in a different form or time than stipulated in the study guide. The request for this must be substantiated by the student and/or lecturer.
2. A student's registration will be confirmed on behalf of the Board of Examiners during written or oral examinations.

Article 11 Remote examination

1. A written examination may be held elsewhere, but only through on-site surveillance and:
 - a) with the permission of the Board of Examiners if it concerns an one-off request owing to exceptional circumstances; and
 - b) the student must register for the examination via the normal procedure.
2. The location and the time schedule of remote examinations will be decided by the Board of Examiners. If the local time for the start of an examination is before 7:00 in the morning or after 23:00 at night, the Board of Examiners may alter the start time, but with as little change from the originally designated start time as possible, and may also take measures to prevent the examination questions to become known to students who have not yet taken the examination in question.

Article 12 Questions and assignments

1. The questions and assignments in an examination will not cover material that is not part of the sources. These sources will be generally made known before commencement of the coursework that prepares students for the examination. The exact scope of the material will be finalised no later than one month before the examination is taken.
2. The questions and assignments contained in an examination are to be a well-balanced representation of the study materials.
3. The examination will test all learning objectives in terms of level, content and form.
4. The questions and assignments will be clear and unambiguous. The instructions regarding the weighing of the questions and assignments will also be clear and unambiguous, and formulated in such a way that students should be able to understand how extensive and detailed their answers must be.
5. The content of the examination will be such that students can be reasonably expected to have sufficient time to answer the questions.

Article 13 Procedure during examinations

1. The examiner will ensure that invigilators are appointed for an examination who will supervise the examination on behalf of and under the responsibility of the Board of Examiners to ensure that examination proceeds properly. The invigilators will observe the Rules of Procedure for Examinations (*Reglement van Orde bij Toetsen*), which are available in the examination room.
2. Students may only gain access to an examination room if:
 - a. they can show a valid identity document. Acceptable identity documents are a campus card, passport, identity card, or driving licence; and
 - b. they can show a valid examination ticket or appear on the list of examinees.
3. The student must follow the instructions issued by the Board of Examiners, the examiner or the invigilator that have been published before the start of the examination or are given during the examination or immediately afterwards.
4. Any student who does not comply with the provisions laid down in or pursuant to section 2 and 3 of this article may be excluded from further participation by the Board of Examiners or the examiner. In these cases no mark will be recorded for the examination.
5. Examination paper and scrap paper will be provided. Students must write down their name on *all* papers. Only work written on official examination paper will be assessed. Students must bring their own writing and drawing materials.
6. During examinations, students are not allowed to use or have available any aids such as pre-programmed calculators, computers, mobile telephones, smart devices or other devices with similar functions unless the

examiner has decided otherwise and this is announced on the virtual learning environment (Brightspace) ultimately one month before the exam.

7. During an examination the student may not possess or consult any books, lecture notes, other notes or other documents unless the examiner has decided otherwise.
8. Answers may not be written in pencil unless the examiner has given permission to do so in advance.
9. Students may not take the examination assignments when leaving the examination room after the examination has ended unless the examiner has decided otherwise.
10. Students are not allowed to gain access to the examination room any later than 30 minutes after the scheduled start of the examination.
11. Students are not permitted to leave the examination room within 30 minutes after an examination officially starts. After 30 minutes, students may be given permission to temporarily leave and re-enter the examination room in urgent cases only and under the supervision of an invigilator. No more than one student may be absent at any given time.
12. Before the student's final departure from the examination room, the student must hand in the examination work to the examiner or invigilator.

Article 14 Assessment

1. In case there is no or more than one responsible lecturer for a course, the Board of Examiners will appoint an examiner who will have primary responsibility. The examiner with primary responsibility determines the grade. If for a course 10 credits or more can be obtained, the assessment must be made by two persons.
2. The assessment method, including the weighing of components, should make clear to the student how the result was reached.
3. Written examinations will be assessed on the basis of model answers.
4. A result will be indicated either by a V (*Voldaan* - pass), an O (*Onvoldoende* - fail) or a VR (*VRijstelling* - exemption), or by a mark (number).
5. A final mark for a subject will be expressed in a whole mark, a half mark, or in a mark with one decimal. The meaning of the marks is as follows:

9.5 – 10.0	excellent
8.5 – 9.0	very good
7.5 – 8.0	good
6.5 – 7.0	more than satisfactory
6.0	sufficient
4.5 – 5.5	insufficient
3.5 – 4.0	poor
1.0 – 3.0	very poor
6. If the marks are rounded off to half and whole figures, three-tenths, four-tenths, eight-tenths and nine-tenths will be rounded up and one-tenth, two-tenths, six-tenths and seven-tenths will be rounded down.
7. If an examination consists of more than one component, the course description in the study guide will indicate how the final mark will be determined.
 - The mark for each component will be expressed in decimals. Hundredths greater than or equal to 0.05 will be rounded up and hundredths lower than 0.05 will be rounded down.
 - A final grade is only given if all partial examinations and practical exercises are assessed with a 5.0 or higher. In the course description in study guide this limit can be raised up to 6.0
8. Final marks earned in another degree programme at TU Delft or another Dutch university will be adopted as they have been given, as a whole figure or half figure or as a decimal figure. The definition that the other degree programme attributes to those marks will also be adopted. However, for final marks that are earned abroad, the result will be a V (pass) or O (fail). The provisions of Article 28 apply to final marks that are earned abroad.
9. If more than one result is earned for a subject, the highest result that has been earned will be valid.
10. If a student is able to demonstrate that submitted examination work has been lost as a result of act or omission of the institution before being assessed, the Board of Examiners shall decide what subsequent course of action will be taken.

Article 15 Registering results

1. The examiner records the results of an examination in the educational registration program (OSIRIS), including the date on which the examination was held or, in the case of an assignment, the due date of the assignment.
2. The examiner may determine the latest date by which an assignment must be completed, such as the date on which a report must be handed in.

Article 16 Retention of work and results

1. Due to the possibility of appeals proceedings, student work (as well as the relevant assessment) that has been assessed, with the exception of three-dimensional projects, must be retained for at least two years after the date of the exam. Three-dimensional projects must be retained by the examiner for at least six weeks after the results have been published.
2. By way of derogation of section 1, and in connection with the re-accreditation of degree programmes, successfully completed degree audits and the relevant assessment forms must be retained for at least seven years.
3. In the event that no result has been published, the period referred to in subsection 1 of this article will commence on the date on which the exam is held.
4. The results of exams will be retained by the Student Administration for a period of at least 10 years.

Exemption

Article 17 Exemption application procedure

An application for an exemption from all or part of a subject must be submitted to the Board of Examiners in writing, stating the reasons for the exemption request, together with any documentary evidence. The student must attach the recommendation of the examiner concerned to his/her application.

Article 18 Official date of an exemption

The official date of an exemption will be the date on which the Board of Examiners grants the exemption.

Further rules Internship, Multidisciplinary Project and Additional Master Thesis Project

Article 19 Internship

1. Before the Internship commences an Internship agreement has to be closed between the Internship coordinator, the examiner from the relevant subject area, the supervisor at the company or institution where the student is doing his or her Internship, and the student.
2. The Internship agreement must at least detail the aims and contents of the practical training period.
3. The Internship agreement forms the basis for the assessment. The period of training will be assessed in its entirety.
4. The Internship coordinator will determine whether the report meets the requirements laid down for the structure and production of the report as well as the student's own assessment of the Internship. The company or institution supervisor will also be consulted.
The subject area examiner will assess the subject-related aspect of the report.
The Internship coordinator will determine the final mark, also on the basis of the subject area examiner's conclusions and the recommendations made by the company or institution supervisor.

Article 20 Multidisciplinary Project

1. In the Multidisciplinary Project manual an indication is given of the number of phases into which a project is divided.
2. The first phase must be rounded off with a work plan that has to be approved by the supervisory team after the initial assessment. If the work plan is not approved then a supplement must be added. The project may not be pursued until the work plan has been approved.
3. At the beginning of the third phase the group will be expected to submit the draft final report.
4. The last phase will be rounded off with the production of the final report and a summary of that same report. Subsequently, during the final assessing, there will be an oral presentation of the final report and an evaluation report will be produced.
5. The student is expected to attend the initial assessment, the briefing and the final assessment and to be present at any other point deemed necessary by the supervisory team. If a student has no valid excuse for being absent at such times then he may be banned from further participating in the project.

6. The work plan and the final report must be assessed by at least two examiners, one of whom must be the main supervisor.
7. When it comes to the final assessment, the work aspects definitely taken into consideration will be the following:
 - a. the quality of the final topic
 - b. how the various sub-topics have been integrated
 - c. written reporting
 - d. oral presentation
 - e. the group process
8. The group result will also be the individual final result unless the main supervisor has sound reason to deviate from that in the case of one or more students in the group.
9. The group is responsible for ensuring that there is regular contact with the main supervisor, especially in instances where the project is being completed abroad.
10. The projects, carried out in one course year, are to be evaluated by one of the concerned professors, who will report to the Director of Education.

Article 21 Official date of Internship and Multidisciplinary Project results

1. The official date of the completion of the Internship will be the date on which the final report is submitted.
2. The official date of the completion of the Multidisciplinary Project will be the date on which the final report or project is submitted or the date on which the oral final presentation is given.

Master's Thesis Project

Article 22

Cancelled (transferred to annex TER)

Article 23 Composition of the assessment committee for Master Thesis Project

1. The intended chairperson will propose a committee for the supervision and assessment of the Master thesis project. This committee will consist of at least three academic members of staff at Delft University of Technology, including the chair. The chairperson must have been appointed by the Board of Examiners as examiner.
The assessment committee's chairperson is responsible for the internal and external supervision.
On behalf of the Board of Examiners, the graduation coordinator of the track specialisation has to approve the composition of the committee.
2. Additional requirements for the members of the assessment committee:
 - The chairperson must be a (full) professor or associate professor within the Construction Management and Engineering programme;
 - The members of the committee must represent at least two different sections. If a department has research groups which are not part of a section, different research groups can be designated, and the word 'section' can be interpreted as designated research group;
 - At least two members must have a UTQ, a UTQ equivalent or an exemption, or must be active in acquiring an UTQ or equivalent;
 - At least two members of the committee must be (full) professor, associate professor, assistant professor or lecturer;
 - One member can be a researcher or PhD candidate only if the other members represent two different sections and if the researcher or the PhD candidate has completed the module "Assessment and feedback for MSc projects (TLS)" of the Doctoral Education (DE) plan.
The chairperson is responsible for the final grade.
3. The assessment committee can be extended with the following additional members:
 - Anyone who is an academic member of staff at Delft University of Technology or at any other Dutch or foreign university;
 - External experts as described in Article 5, section 4.
4. In exceptional cases, and upon request by the chair of the intended assessment committee, the Board of Examiners can grant an exemption to sections 1-4 of this article.

Article 24 The assessment committee

- 1a. Before a presentation date can be agreed, the assessment committee must assess the draft report positively (the so-called green light meeting). A positive assessment means that it is expected that the student can achieve a final grade of a 6.0 or higher, but some changes may still be required. It is not a guarantee for a pass: the student may still fail, for instance if the student has not made the necessary changes (see annexes Teaching and Examination Regulations of Civil Engineering and Applied Earth Sciences) or if the presentation and defence are sub-standard.
- 1b. Before the actual presentation date, the student must have completed all the other examination programme obligations.
2. The assessment committee will ensure that, provided the level of the Master Thesis Project is high enough, the time devoted to the work involved will not be greater than the study load in terms of the allocated number of credits.
3. If the Board of Examiners is notified pursuant to article 25, section 17 annex of the Teaching and Examination Regulations Civil Engineering, of Applied Earth Sciences and Construction Management and Engineering, it will ask both the assessment committee and the student to elucidate matters in writing and to further explain how the process can be completed. The Board of Examiners subsequently has the right to decide that the existing material will be viewed as the final thesis. The possibility that the student in question will thus fail to successfully round off the studies will arise. In such cases students are required to find a new thesis topic and restart the graduation process.

Article 25 Official date of the Master's Thesis Project result

The official date of completion of the Master's Thesis Project will be the date on which the final oral presentation is given.

Curriculum components completed elsewhere

Article 26 Inclusion in the degree audit programme

1. The total number of credits for exemptions and courses completed outside the degree programme to be included in the degree programme may not exceed 40.
2. Courses successfully completed elsewhere will only be included in the programme if they were completed during the period in which the student was enrolled on the degree programme.

Article 27 Provision of information

1. In the event that a student has completed approved components taken outside the degree programme, the student is responsible for ensuring that the authorised agency issues a statement indicating the component(s) in question by name and insofar as applicable by subject code(s), the associated study load (credits), the result(s), the date(s) on which the result(s) was/were earned and the date(s) on which the statement(s) was/were issued, and information to determine whether the result(s) is/are sufficient according to the grading table of the university abroad (to be handed over as well).
2. In the case of components taken abroad, the student must request the Board of Examiners to determine the study load (credits) and whether the result(s) is/are sufficient according to the grading table of the university abroad.
3. The student must provide the Board of Examiners with relevant information on the progress of the component and its assessment by the authorised body, including a statement on fraud. The Board of Examiners is authorised to request and obtain such information from the authorised body.

Pass/fail rules for programmes

Article 28 Pass/fail rules governing Master's degree audit

1. To obtain the Master's degree, students must:
have obtained a pass (V), an exemption (VR) or a mark of 6.0 or higher for every course.
2. In special cases, the Board of Examiners may deviate from the provisions of subsection 1 of this article in favour of the student. It will stipulate additional requirements if necessary.

Article 29a Pass/fail rules governing the Master's Honours Programme

To pass the Master's Honours Programme, students must have met the following requirements:

- a. gaining a pass (V), an exemption (VR) or a mark of 6.0 or higher for every course in the Master's Honours Programme;
- b. the total duration of study of the Master's degree programme and the Master's Honours Programme does not exceed two and a half years, but allowing for any delays officially recognised in accordance with the Graduation Support Scheme (RAS) or TU Delft Profiling Fund Regulations. In exceptional circumstances, the Board of Examiners can deviate from this time period in favour of the student.

Article 29b Pass and fail rules governing annotations

The student has passed an annotation as set out in the annex of the Master's Programme Teaching & Education Regulations Civil Engineering respectively the annex of the Master's Programme Teaching & Education Regulations Applied Earth Sciences if the student has achieved a pass (V), an exemption (VR) or a mark of 6.0.

Article 29c The pre-master's pass and fail rules for students from higher vocational institutes (HBO) or other deficiency programmes

1. The student meets the requirements for the degree audit once the student has obtained:
a pass (V), an exemption (VR) or a mark 6.0 or higher for every component.
2. In special cases, the Board of Examiners can deviate from the provisions of section 1 of this article. It will stipulate additional requirements if necessary.

Conferring the predicate "cum laude"

Article 30 The predicate "cum laude" Master's degree

1. A student who started the Master's degree Construction Management and Engineering on 1 September 2019 or later, may receive the designation "cum laude" for the Master's degree if the Board of Examiners decides to grant this distinction and at least the following requirements have been met:
 - a. the weighted average of the results in terms of credits from the courses, excluding the Master's Thesis Project is at least 8.0; passes (V) and exemptions (VR) will not be taken into consideration;
 - b. the number of credits from the courses for which a pass (V) has been earned or an exemption (VR) has been granted is not allowed to exceed 40 credits in total. An exemption can be made when a student took courses at a university abroad;
 - c. the result for the Master's Thesis Project is at least 8.5;
 - d. the duration of study, from enrolment on the programme to the student taking the final examination component, does not exceed 2,5 years, allowing for any delays officially recognised in accordance with the Graduation Support Scheme (RAS) of TU Delft Profiling Fund Regulations.
2. In special cases the Board of Examiners *may* decide to grant the designation "cum laude" to a student who does not meet the requirements referred to in subsection 1 if the Board of Examiners determines that student in question has shown exceptional skills in the degree programme in question. The Board of Examiners needs a written statement by the MSc coordinator and/or chairperson of the assessment committee, stating the reasons of the student's outstanding performance during the degree programme.
3. By way of derogation from section 1 under d, the maximum study duration for students following an approved double degree programme is three and a half year, calculated from the moment of the student's enrolment in the programme until the student taking the final examination component. Study delay acknowledged as part of the Graduation Support Scheme (RAS) or TU Delft Profiling Fund Regulations can be taken into account.
4. A student who started the Construction Management and Engineering Master's degree before 1 September 2019 can obtain the 'cum laude' designation for the Master's examination in accordance with the provisions of the Rules and Regulations from the year the student started the degree programme.

Degree certificates and results achieved

Article 31 Degree certificate, transcript and supplement

1. A degree certificate (diploma) will be issued by or on behalf of the Board of Examiners as evidence that the degree audit has been completed.
2. The certificate (diploma) shall be signed by the chairman of the Board of Examiners or one of the mandated members or the Secretary to the Board on behalf of the Board of Examiners.
3. A diploma supplement in English will be provided when the degree certificate is issued, which will indicate the results achieved. A diploma supplement in Dutch can be obtained from the Student Administration upon request.

Article 32 Statement of results achieved

1. A student who has successfully taken one or more exams and to whom no degree certificate within the meaning of Article 31 can be issued when leaving the university will, upon request, receive a statement of results from the Board of Examiners. This statement will include personal data, course code(s) and name(s), number of credits, the result(s) and the date(s) on which the result(s) was/were obtained.
2. A statement as referred to in section 1 of this article must be requested from the Student Administration.

Appeal and final provisions

Article 33 Appeals against decisions made by the Board of Examiners

Appeals to the Examinations Appeals Board referred to in Section 7.60 of the Higher Education and Research Act (WHW) against decisions made by the Board of Examiners and/or an examiner may be lodged up to six weeks after the decision in question has been made known to the individual concerned. The Board of Examiners will refer to this possibility of appeal in its decisions.

Article 34 Amendments to the Rules and Guidelines of the Board of Examiners

No amendments may be made to these Rules and Guidelines that apply to the current academic year, unless there are cogent grounds to do so, and the students' interests remain reasonably unaffected.

Article 35 Unexpected circumstances

Insofar as these Rules and Guidelines do not provide for specific circumstances, the Board of Examiners will make a decision that is in line with these Rules and Guidelines to every extent possible.

Article 36 Entry into force

These Rules and Guidelines will enter into force on 1 September 2019.

Adopted by the Board of Examiners on 11 July 2019